83

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI S.R. NAYAK, CJ.

WRIT PETITION No. 725 OF 1996

PETITIONERS

(a) Narayan Prasad Seriwas, Aged about 60 years, Son of Shri Gokal Prasad, Businessman, Shop No. A-1 and A-18, Sharda Chowk, Guru Nanak Market, Raipur.

(b) Murlidhar, Aged about 32 years, Son of Shri Takhatmal, Businessman, Shop No.A-6, Sharda Chowk, Guru Nanak Market, Raipur.

(c) Krishnalal Chhabra, Aged about 52 years, Son of Sardar Ishwar Singh Chhabra, Businessman, Shop No.A-2, Guru Nanak Market, Sharda Chowk Raipur.

(d) Daulatram J. Chhabria, Aged about 52 years, Son of Jethand C. Chhabria, Businessman, Shop No. C-1, Sharda Chowk, Guru Nanak Market, Raipur.

(e) Teekamchand Lalwani, Aged about 55 years, Son of Tejumal Lalwani, Businessman, Shop No. B-8, Sharda Chowk, Guru Nanak Market, Raipur.

(f) Karpal Thwrani, Aged about 28 years, Son of Sagandas, Businessman, Shop No. C-9, Sharda Chowk, Guru Nanak Market, Raipur.

(g) Bansilal Jaggi, Aged about 50 years, Son of Ram Narayan, Businessman, Shop No.B-7, Sharda Chowk, Guru Nanak Market, Raipur.

(h) Kumar Navlani, Aged about 30 years, Son of Mansharam, Businessman, Shop No.B-6, Sharda

(84)

Chowk, Guru Nanak Market, Raipur.

(i) Inderlal Nara, Aged about 40 years, Son of Late Balchand, Businessman, Shop No.D-2, Sharda Chowk, Guru Nanak Market, Raipur.

(j) Parmanand Nanwani, Son of Shobhrajmal Aged about 43 years, Businessman, Shop No.B-5, Sharda Chowk, Guru Nanak Market, Raipur.

Versus

RESPONDENTS

(i) Raipur Development Authority, Raipur, Through its Chairman, Raipur Development Authority, Raipur.

(ii) Shri Suresh Yadu, Aged about 50 years, Son of Shri Basant Lal Yadu, resident of Shivaji Chowk, Raipur.

(iii) Ashok Talmale, Son of Shri Gangaram, Aged about 24 years, resident of Shakti Niwas, Near Rajesh Type Foundary, Jorapara, Raipur.

(iv) Indrajeet Singh Thakur, Brahman Para, Raipur.

(v) Shri Tarun Chansouriya, son of Shri R.R. Sharma, Aged about 24 years, resident of Bada of Laxmichand, Sharda Chowk, Raipur.

Present : None for the petitioners.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 5th May, 2006)

When the matter was called out for hearing, none appeared nor any representation was made on behalf of the petitioners. In the circumstance, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

Sd/-
Chief Justice